

# General Environmental Hazards in Agriculture

Agricultural Health and Safety Course for Medical and Safety Professionals

Risto Rautiainen, PhD, MS Professor - UNMC, COPH, EAOH Director - CSCASH



# Learning objectives

- Define major environmental health hazards and their sources in the rural and agricultural environment
- Characterize contaminants in air and water and the suspected health hazards of these contaminants
- Examine health concerns for people living in the vicinity of large livestock confinement facilities



### Figure 4.1.1--Major farming systems in U.S. agriculture

(Height of bar grossly indicates the relative acreage in that system)

### High synthetic input--medium precision

Pesticide and fertilizer applied to entire field generally at same rate.

Greater use of rotations, soil testing, pest scouting, and reduced tillage.

### High synthetic input--high precision

More selective pesticide application.

Needed fertilizer applied with precision equipment.

# Reduced synthetic input

Greater use of manure and alternative pest management. Organic farming No synthetic inputs

High synthetic input--low precision

Little use of rotations, soil testing, and pest scouling. Mostly intensive tillage.

Less


General environmental friendliness

More

Source: USDA, ERS

# Types of environmental health hazards

- Chemical
- Physical
- Mechanical
- Biological
- Psychosocial



http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/skin/



# Routes of exposure


- Water
- Air
  - Indoor
  - Outdoor
- Soil
- Food
- Penetrating skin





### Infectious or communicable disease

- Direct
  - Touching
  - Fluids
- Vehicles
  - Food
  - Soil
  - Water
  - Air
- Vectors
  - Animals
  - Insects





### Bacteria

- Bacillus anthracis
  - Anthrax
- Bordetella pertussis
  - Whooping cough
- Borrelia burgdorferi
  - Lyme disease
- Campylobacter spp.
  - C.jejuni, C.coli (from poultry)
- Clostridium spp.
  - Botulism
- Escherichia coli
  - Food poisoning

- Francisella tularensis
  - Tularemia (from rodents)
- Mycobacterium spp.
  - Tuberculosis, leprocy
- Salmonella
  - Typhoid fever, food poisoning
- Shigella spp.
  - Shigellosis, diarrhea
- Vibrio cholerae
  - Cholera, diarrhea
- Yersinia pestis
  - Bubonic plague, black death





### Viruses

Adenovirus

Coxsackievirus

Flavivirus

Hantavirus

Hepatitis A and E Viruses

HIV

• Influenza (incl. bird flu)

Norwalk-like viruses

Rhabdovirus

Rotavirus

West Nile Virus

Corona virus





### **Parasites**

- Cryptosporidium parvum
- Cyclospora
- Entamoeba histolytica
- Giardia lamblia
- Leishmania spp.

- Plasmodium spp.
- Schistosoma spp.
- Taenia spp.
- Trichinella spiralis





### Other sources


Prions (protein particle)

 Creutzfeldt-Jacob ("mad cow") disease Rickettsia (very small bacteria)

- Coxiella burnetii (Q Fever)
- Rickettsia rickettsii (Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever)



# Water cycle








# Water quality

- Contamination vs. pollution
- Biological, chemical, physical
- Point source vs. Nonpoint source



http://water.epa.gov/scitech/datait/models/aquatox/index.cfm



# Sources of water pollution in rural areas

- Animal wastes
- Fertilizers
- Pesticides
- Urban sources
- Rural industrial sources
- Inappropriate land management
- Natural sources




# Water pollutants associated with agriculture

- Nitrogen
- Phosphorus
- Trace elements
- Particles, sediment
- Microbes and antibiotics
- Veterinary pharmaceuticals




# Nitrogen cycle

http://faculty.engineering.asu.edu/landis/research/nutrient-flow-analysis/



# Phosphorous cycle

http://faculty.engineering.asu.edu/landis/research/nutrient-flow-analysis/



# Control of water pollution

### Regulatory:

- Main regulatory body EPA
  - http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/standards/wqsregs.cfm

### Voluntary:

- 2012 National Water quality Initiative; USDA, EPA, state water quality agencies
  - Reduce nonpoint sources of nutrients, sediment, pathogens in high-priority watersheds in each state
  - Voluntary efforts to avoid, trap, control run-off
  - https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/ water/?cid=stelprdb1047761

	RESOURCE CONCERNS	Pesticides	Nutrients & Organic	Salinity	Heavy Metals	Pathogens	Pesticides	Nutrients & Organic	Salinity	Heavy Metals	Pathogens	Temperature	Low Dissolved Oxygen	Suspended Sediments & Turbidity	Aquatic Habitat Suitability
NRCS Code	CONSERVATION PRACTICES	DE	Gr	ound W	Vater	- 7				Sı	ırface V	Vater			
322	Channel Vegetation				В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В		В	В
327	Conservation Cover	D	В	В	В	В	В	В	KE.	В	В	В	В	В	В
656	Constructed Wetland		-			120	В	В	В	В	В		В		В
332	Contour Buffer Strips	D	D	D	D	D	В	В	В	В	1000	he:	В	В	В
342	Critical Area Planting	D	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В
400	Floodwater Diversion	В	В	-	11-	III. T	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	100
490	Forest Site Preparation		I E			100		D					D	D	D
412	Grassed Waterway			P. Y.			В	В				i i i	В	В	В
561	Heavy Use Area Protection		1000	2 0		N. A	В	D		i judir		ll e I		В	
422	Hedgerow Planting	2.0	T.Y				В	В		Part .	В		В	В	
441	Irrigation System - Micro	В	В		В	В	В	В		В	В	В	В	В	В
442	Irrigation System - Sprinkler	D	D	D	D	D	В	В		В	В	В	В	В	В
634	Manure Transfer	15.	В	В				В	В		В	В	В	В	
484	Mulching	D	D	D	D	D	В	В	В	В	В	T.E	В	В	K
590	Nutrient Management	1	В	В	В	В	1.50	В	В	В	le o	14.7	В		В
528A	Prescribed Grazing	12	В		100	1	В	В			В	В	de Sec	В	В
344	Residue Management, Seasonal	D	D	D	D	D	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В
391	Riparian Forest Buffer	В	В	В	В	В	14.3	В	В	В	В	В		В	В
350	Sediment Basin	D	D	В	D	D	В	В	В	В	В		1 14	В	В
351	Well Decommissioning	В	В	В	В	В	هم ا			ilia in					
657	Wetland Restoration		10-		-	-	В	В	,		В	1110	В	В	В
	B - Beneficial effects expected D - Detrimental effects expected Blank - Not Rated	ht			_					-			_	ricultur PS_agm	

# Drinking water quality Testing, Private wells

 http://www.cdc.gov/healthywater/drinking/p ublic/drinking-water-faq.html

https://www.epa.gov/privatewells

 http://deq.ne.gov/NDEQProg.nsf/OnWeb/Wat er

## Clean water act and agriculture

- Clean water act: <a href="https://www.epa.gov/laws-regulations/summary-clean-water-act">https://www.epa.gov/laws-regulations/summary-clean-water-act</a>
- Agriculture sector:
   https://www.epa.gov/regulatory-information-sector/agriculture-sectors-crop-naics-111-and-animal-naics-112
- 2015 Waters of the United States (WOTUS) rule -Farm Bureau site: <a href="https://www.fb.org/issues/regulatory-reform/clean-water-act/">https://www.fb.org/issues/regulatory-reform/clean-water-act/</a>



# Rural air quality

- Indoor and outdoor contaminants
- Natural sources
  - Wild fires, volcanic eruptions, dust storms
  - Pollens, moulds, particulates
- Human activity sources:
  - Manure, fertilizers, pesticides
  - Animal confinements
  - Emissions from storage facilities
  - Incomplete combustion from engines, burning materials




# Air pollution from agriculture

- Particulates (incl. organic dust, silica)
- Gasses (inc. CO<sup>2</sup>, methane, H<sup>2</sup>S, ammonia)
- Odors
- Microbes
- Endotoxin and glucans
- Antibiotics
- Agricultural chemicals




### Greenhouse gasses

https://www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/inventory-us-greenhouse-gas-emissions-and-sinks




# Carbon cycle



## Greenhouse gas emissions from cattle

Source: https://www.facebook.com/defendingbeef/



## Control of air pollution from manure

- Direct injection; 90% less odor compared to surface application
- Incorporation (tilling) into soil during surface application
- Biogas production
- No application on frozen ground







## Control of agricultural air pollutants

http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE\_DOCUMENTS/stelprdb1049502.pdf

- Maintain soil surface cover
- In-field pass reductions
- Soil conditioning, timing of operations
- Maintenance of unpaved roads, traffic areas
- Wind barriers
- Equipment modifications
- Fire, smoke reductions
- Precision delivery of inputs





Air

Air Quality

 USDA Agricultural Air Quality Task Force

#### **GHG and Carbon Sequestration Ranking Tool**


NRCS Practice Standards for Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction and Carbon Sequestration

Qualitative Ranking N = Neutral	Practice Code	Practice Standard and Associated Information Sheet	Beneficial Attributes	
GHG Benefits of this Practice Standard	327	Conservation Cover	Establishing perennial vegetation on land retired from agriculture production increases soil carbon and increases biomass carbon stocks.	
	329	Residue and Tillage Management, No-Till/Strip-Till/Direct Seed	Limiting soil-disturbing activities improves soil carbon retention and minimizes carbon emission from soils.	
	366	Anaerobic Digester	Biogas capture reduces CH <sub>4</sub> emissions to the atmosphere and provides a viable gas stream that is used for electricity generation or as a natural gas energy stream.	
	367	Roofs and Covers	Capture of biogas from waste management facilities reduces CH4 emissions to the atmosphere and captures biogas for energy	



# Health effects associated with pesticides include:

- Eye, nose, throat irritation
- Skin rashes,stomach cramps,nausea
- Central nervous system damage
- Kidney damage
- Increased risk of cancers



http://npic.orst.edu/health/minexp.html





### Insecticides

- Organophosphate
- Carbamate
- Organochlorine
- Pyrethroid



http://www.peer.eu/news\_events/news\_archive/?tx\_list\_pi1%5Bcat2% 5D=2009&tx list pi1%5Bcat0%5D=2



### Re-classification of Glyphosate (Roundup)

http://www.iarc.fr/en/media-centre/iarcnews/pdf/MonographVolume112.pdf

### International Agency for Research on Cancer



20 March 2015

# IARC Monographs Volume 112: evaluation of five organophosphate insecticides and herbicides

Lyon, France, 20 March 2015 – The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), the specialized cancer agency of the World Health Organization, has assessed the carcinogenicity of five organophosphate pesticides. A summary of the final evaluations together with a short rationale have now been published online in The Lancet Oncology, and the detailed assessments will be published as Volume 112 of the IARC Monographs.

#### What were the results of the IARC evaluations?

The herbicide glyphosate and the insecticides malathion and diazinon were classified as probably carcinogenic to humans (Group 2A).

The insecticides **tetrachlorvinphos** and **parathion** were classified as *possibly carcinogenic to humans* (Group 2B).



http://agr.wa.gov/Pestfert/Pesticides/WastePhotoGallery\_storage.aspx

# Storage, transportation, disposal of pesticides

- Containers or equipment should not be stored, handled, emptied, disposed of, or left unattended in such a manner that they may present a hazard to persons, animals, food, feed, crops, or property.
- Pesticides should not be placed into a container used for food, drink, household products, or feed.
  - Soda, water, and milk bottles
  - Mason jars
  - Ziploc bags sold for food storage







http://agr.wa.gov/Pestfert/Pesticides/WastePhotoGallery\_storage.aspx



# Disposal of surplus pesticides

- Keep in original containers
- Return to registrant/manufacture
- Dispose of as hazardous waste



http://agr.wa.gov/Pestfert/Pesticides/WastePhotoGallery\_storage.aspx



# Animal feeding operations (AFOs)









### Confined Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs)

http://www.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/sector\_table.pdf

	Size Thresholds (number of animals)						
Animal Sector	Large CAFOs	Medium CAFOs1	Small CAFOs <sup>2</sup>				
cattle or cow/calf pairs	1,000 or more	300 - 999	less than 300				
mature dairy cattle	700 or more	200 - 699	less than 200				
veal calves	1,000 or more	300 - 999	less than 300				
swine (weighing over 55 pounds)	2,500 or more	750 - 2,499	less than 750				
swine (weighing less than 55 pounds)	10,000 or more	3,000 - 9,999	less than 3,000				
horses	500 or more	150 - 499	less than 150				
sheep or lambs	10,000 or more	3,000 - 9,999	less than 3,000				
turkeys	55,000 or more	16,500 - 54,999	less than 16,500				
laying hens or broilers (liquid manure handling systems)	30,000 or more	9,000 - 29,999	less than 9,000				
chickens other than laying hens (other than a liquid manure handling systems)	125,000 or more	37,500 - 124,999	less than 37,500				
laying hens (other than a liquid manure handling systems)	82,000 or more	25,000 - 81,999	less than 25,000				
ducks (other than a liquid manure handling systems)	30,000 or more	10,000 - 29,999	less than 10,000				
ducks (liquid manure handling systems)	5,000 or more	1,500 - 4,999	less than 1,500				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Must also meet one of two "method of discharge" criteria to be defined as a CAFO or may be designated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Never a CAFO by regulatory definition, but may be designated as a CAFO on a case-by-case basis.

# Federal CAFO regulations

- The National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit Regulation for CAFOs (40 CFR Part 122).
- The Effluent Limitations Guidelines and Standards (ELGs) for CAFOs (40 CFR Part 412).



§ 412.4 Best management practices (BMPs) for land application of manure, litter, and process wastewater.

- "Setback Requirements means a specified distance from surface waters..."
- "Vegetated buffer means a narrow..."
- "Multi-year phosphorus application means..."
- "Nutrient management plan...."
- "Determination of application rates...."
- "Manure and soil sampling..."



### Public health issues with CAFOs

### Concerns:

- Large size of the operation ->
  - can become a point source for water, air and solid waste pollution
  - managing feed, manure, dead animals, flies, particulates, gases, odors, odorants, infectious diseases
- Air quality:
  - Human health concern: ammonia, H2S
  - Greenhouse gases: Methane, CO2
  - Odor: mix of numerous compounds



# Air quality concerns from CAFOs

- Nearly 200 compounds emitted from manure
- Some have low odor thresholds
- Ammonia and H2S main human health risks
- Methane and CO2 greenhouse gases
- Particulates; may contain bioactive materials, smallest particles travel far
- Particles + gases; synergistic effects
- Minimum separation distances, HEV, HES



### H2S Concentration – estimated effect of distance

http://ir.uiowa.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2708&context=etd

Figure 4. Largest Swine CAFO Average Estimated Hydrogen Sulfide Concentrations and Distance Away from the Source





Figure 14. Swine Weight Dense Area. Eighth-Highest, Hourly, Hydrogen Sulfide Concentrations and Public Use Separated Distances



# Community health issues

- Measured concentrations of pollutants from CAFOs in the vicinity are much lower than occupational threshold limit values (TLV)
- Longer low level exposures to H2S may have neurological effects
- Mental health concerns; loss of property value; quality of life



# Community concerns (cont.)

- Extra-Toxic mechanisms
  - low level emissions, absence of objective data
  - physical, mental, emotional, social effects
- Somatization of adverse odors
  - Irritation, pungency may trigger protective behavioral responses to odors
  - Avoiding odors, underlying conditions, susceptibility differences, stress





# Questions

**Contact info:** 

Risto Rautiainen

rrautiainen@unmc.edu

